

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,655	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elli Peselev	1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elli Peselev.

(3) Michael Woodward.

(2) George Elliott.

(4) Timothy Creagan, Lance Liu and George Quallich.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 124-142 and 144.

Identification of prior art discussed: Singer et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,365,574).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney pointed out that Singer et al patent does not disclose substantially pure azithromycin monohydrate hemi-ethanol solvate as defined in the instant specification for the reasons set forth in the declaration by Dr. Quallich..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required